## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP. AND BOSTON SCIENTIFIC NEUROMODULATION CORP.,

Plaintiffs,

C.A. No. 16-1163-CFC-CJB CONSOLIDATED

v.

NEVRO CORP.,

Defendant.

## **VERDICT SHEET**

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, unanimously find as follows:

Que	stion No.	<u>1</u> : Dic	l Boston	Scientific	prove l	by a	prepor	nderan	ce of t	the
evidence th	nat Nevro	directly	infringes	any of the	follow	ing c	laims	of the f	ollowi	ing
patents?										

("YES" is a finding for Boston	Scientifi	c; "NO" is	a finding for Nevro.)
'439 Patent, Claim 11:	YES: _	<u> </u>	NO:
'439 Patent, Claim 25:	YES: _	<u> </u>	NO:

Question No. 2: Did Boston Scientific prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Nevro actively induced Cirtec Medical LLC f/k/a Stellar Technologies, Inc. to directly infringe any of the following claims of the following patents?

("YES" is a finding for Boston Scientific; "NO" is a finding for Nevro.)

'085 Patent, Claim 1:	YES:	NO:
'085 Patent, Claim 18:	YES: V	NO:
'172 Patent, Claim 3:	YES:	NO:
'747 Patent Claim 11.	YES:	NO: V

$\subseteq$	Question 1	<u> </u>	Did Ne	evro prove	e by clea	r and	convincing	evidence	that	any
of the fe	ollowing	claims	of the	following	patents	are in	valid for an	ticipation'	?	

("YES" is a finding for Nevro; "NO" is a finding for Boston Scientific.)

YES: \_\_\_\_\_ '172 Patent, Claim 3: NO: \_\_\_/\_ YES: \_\_\_\_ '747 Patent, Claim 11:

YES: \_\_\_\_ '439 Patent, Claim 11:

YES: \_\_\_\_ NO: V '439 Patent, Claim 25:

Question No. 4: Did Nevro prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the following patents are invalid for obviousness?

("YES" is a finding for Nevro; "NO" is a finding for Boston Scientific.)

'085 Patent, Claim 1:

YES: \_\_\_\_\_ NO: \_\_\_\_\_ YES: \_\_\_\_ NO: \_\_\_\_\_ '085 Patent, Claim 18:

You will only answer Questions 5 and 6 if you found any asserted patent claim to be both valid and infringed.

Otherwise, stop here.

	Question	<u>1 No. 5</u> :	For any	infr	ingement, wh	at amoun	t do you d	eterm	ine to be
a	reasonably	royalty	amount	to	compensate	Boston	Scientific	for	Nevro's
in	fringement?								

20	million	

Question No. 6: For any claim that you found both valid and infringed, did Boston Scientific prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Nevro willfully infringed that claim?

("YES" is a finding for Boston Scientific; "NO" is a finding for Nevro.)

'085 Patent, Claim 1:	YES: V	NO:
'085 Patent, Claim 18:	YES: V	NO:
'172 Patent, Claim 3:	YES:	NO:
'747 Patent, Claim 11:	YES:	NO:
'439 Patent, Claim 11:	YES:	NO: V
'439 Patent, Claim 25:	YES:	NO:

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and you should review it to ensure that it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Foreperson should then sign the verdict form in the space below and notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict. The Foreperson should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it to the courtroom with the jury.

Dated: ///2/
Signed: //// )

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and you should review it to ensure that it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Foreperson should then sign the verdict form in the space below and notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict. The Foreperson should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it to the courtroom with the jury.

