

A Look At The Tax Plans Of Presidential Candidates

Law360, New York (August 27, 2015, 10:29 AM ET) --



Robert J. Leonard



Jayne T. Fitzgerald

With Gov. John Kasich, R-Ohio, officially in the race for president, the total number of Republican candidates for president stands at 17, while Democrats, led by former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, D-N.Y., total five. This crowded field of presidential candidates has produced a wide variety of tax policy positions and plans for tax reform as evidenced in the Democratic and Republican candidate breakdown. While almost every candidate has acknowledged the need for tax reform, many have been slow to disclose the specifics of their reform proposals. Nonetheless, general themes are taking shape, with Republicans favoring lower rates overall and Democrats seeking to ease the tax burden on middle-class taxpayers. Candidates in both parties seem focused on the need for stimulating economic growth.

Republican Field

A number of Republican candidates favor some version of a “flat” tax rate on personal income, with proposals ranging from 10 to 15 percent based on income levels. Sen. Marco Rubio’s (R-Fla.) proposal would implement a 15 percent rate on middle-class earners, with a rate of 35 percent for higher-income taxpayers. Similarly, Sen. Rand Paul of Kentucky has proposed a 14.5 percent “flat and fair” tax rate that would apply to all earners and businesses, with the first \$50,000 of family income untaxed. Sen. Ted Cruz of Texas has said that he supports a flat tax, but he has not provided details as to a specific rate level. Neurosurgeon Ben Carson has proposed a flat tax rate of 10 percent.

Another priority for Republican candidates appears to be lowering the corporate tax rate to encourage investment in the United States and the repatriation of foreign earnings. Proposals by Rubio and New Jersey Gov. Chris Christie would reduce the maximum corporate rate to 25 percent, while former Texas Gov. Rick Perry has proposed a 20 percent rate. Other candidates support lowering the corporate rate but

have not given a specific tax level. As stated above, Paul's plan would apply a 14.5 percent rate to businesses, as well as individuals.

The candidates generally agree that taxes on capital gains and dividends should be lowered or eliminated. In addition, many proposals assert that any revenue loss from reducing tax rates should be offset by restricting or eliminating various tax deductions, with the exception of charitable donations and mortgage interest.

While candidates have stated that their proposals would result in either revenue increases or at least no increase in the federal deficit, none of the plans to date has been scored by the Congressional Budget Office or Joint Committee on Taxation, which are the official budget and revenue scorekeepers for Congress. However, Paul's tax plan was analyzed by the Tax Foundation, which concluded that it would "grow the economy by 9.4 percent in the long run, create 1.4 million jobs, and cost \$2.97 trillion over ten years on a static basis and \$960 billion when accounting for economic growth."^[1]

The Tax Policy Center declined to do a full analysis of the Paul plan until more details are disclosed, but did comment that Paul's plan would likely result in dramatically reduced revenue for entitlement programs, such as Social Security and Medicare.^[2] Sen. Lindsey Graham, R-S.C., has said that he supports the Simpson-Bowles budget plan, which would lower individual and corporate rates and broaden the tax base. Of the 17 Republican presidential candidates, only former Govs. Jeb Bush (Florida), George Pataki (New York) and Jim Gilmore (Virginia), and Donald Trump have not signed the Americans for Tax Reform Taxpayer Protection Pledge, by which candidates pledge not to support any tax increase.

During the Republican presidential debates on Aug. 6, candidates were not asked many questions about tax policy and therefore did not elaborate on their tax policy positions. However, two candidates, former Arkansas Gov. Mike Huckabee and Carson, did express support for the fair and flat tax plans as a way to simplify the tax code. Carson described his 10 percent flat tax rate as a form of "tithe" for all earners, regardless of income level. Bush and current Gov. Scott Walker of Wisconsin, as well as Kasich, touted various reductions in state taxes enacted in their respective states. Several of the other candidates pledged to lower corporate tax rates as a means to stimulate economic growth.

Democratic Field

Clinton remains the front-runner of the Democratic field. While she has not released a specific tax plan, Clinton has expressed support for lowering the tax burden on middle-class taxpayers. In addition, Clinton has indicated that, if elected, she would close several business tax "loopholes," including the current tax treatment of carried interest. Clinton has also stated that she opposes the current tax incentives for oil and gas companies and would seek to end them. That said, she has expressed support for implementing tax incentives to encourage profit-sharing between businesses and their employees and has proposed a \$1,500 tax credit for businesses that hire apprentices. Recently, Clinton proposed a comprehensive college affordability plan, financed by a 28 percent cap on itemized deductions similar to the budget proposal advanced by President Barack Obama.

Sen. Bernie Sanders, I-Vt., appears to be narrowing the gap with Clinton in several national and state-specific polls. With respect to Sanders' tax policy positions, he has proposed to increase and restructure the estate tax, beginning with a 45 percent rate on estates worth up to \$7 million, a 50 percent rate on those worth \$7 million to \$10 million, and a 55 percent rate on those worth \$10 million to \$50 million. In addition, Sanders has expressed support for an additional 10 percent surtax on estates valued at more than \$1 billion. Sanders has also sponsored legislation that would change the current tax rules for

corporate inversions and earnings stripping by foreign companies, and another bill that would prohibit U.S. corporations from deferring federal income taxes on profits of offshore subsidiaries. Like Clinton, Sanders opposes current tax incentives for the oil and gas industry.

Other Democratic presidential candidates, such as former Maryland Gov. Martin O'Malley and former Sens. Jim Webb of Virginia and Lincoln Chafee of Rhode Island, have all expressed support for lowering individual tax rates generally while not advancing any specific tax plans. The one exception was an open letter written by O'Malley to the financial sector on July 9, 2015, outlining steps that he would take to prevent another major banking crisis. In that letter, he proposes a tax on financial instruments to discourage high-frequency trading and a separate financial transaction tax to discourage speculation.

Outlook: The Developing 2017 Tax Agendas

At this stage, the field of presidential candidates in both parties is quite diverse and unsettled — as is the eventual tax agenda for 2017 after the election of a new president in November 2016. Obviously, the congressional elections in November 2016 will also have a significant bearing on the specific issues that eventually comprise the tax agenda in the new Congress. Understandably, most candidates have not provided many specifics of their tax reform plans, but a general consensus for comprehensive tax reform appears to be developing on both sides of the aisle.

However, the devil is always in the details, and “tax reform” means many different things to many different candidates. At this point, the developing consensus appears to be one of theoretical agreement that the current tax system is broken — measured by its complexity, inefficiency and policy sclerosis.

—By Robert J. Leonard, Jayne T. Fitzgerald and Matthew C. Thomas, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP

Robert Leonard is a partner in Akin Gump's Washington, D.C. office. He has held several positions on the Committee on Ways and Means of the U.S. House of Representatives, including chief counsel and staff director (1987-1993), chief tax counsel (1981-1986) and majority tax counsel (1974-1980). Leonard has been involved in several tax and budgetary laws considered by Congress, including the Tax Reform Act of 1986.

Jayne Fitzgerald is a senior counsel in Washington and has also served as tax counsel to the Committee on Ways and Means, assisting in the drafting of tax legislation including the Economic Recovery Tax Act of 1981, the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982, the Deficit Reduction Act of 1984, the Energy Policy Act of 1992 and the revenue provisions of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993.

Matthew Thomas is a senior public policy specialist in the firm's Washington office.

The opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the firm, its clients, or Portfolio Media Inc., or any of its or their respective affiliates. This article is for general information purposes and is not intended to be and should not be taken as legal advice.

[1] “The Economic Effects of Rand Paul’s Tax Reform Plan,” by Andrew Lundeen, Michael Schuyler, Tax Foundation, available at <http://taxfoundation.org/blog/economic-effects-rand-paul-s-tax-reform-plan>

[2] “Rand Paul’s Tax Cut Isn’t Quite What It Seems,” Forbes, available at http://www.forbes.com/fdc/welcome_mjx.shtml