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FORMS OF SECURITY

1. What are the most common forms of security granted over immovable and movable property? What formalities must the security documents, the secured creditor or the debtor comply with? What is the effect of non-compliance with these formalities?

Immovable property

Common forms of security. The most common forms of security are as follows:

- Legal mortgage. A legal mortgage is created by way of a legal charge that must be in writing and executed as a deed expressed to be a legal charge. A legal mortgage creates powers and protections, including foreclosure and the equity of redemption. The legal mortgagee cannot take possession before default.

- Equitable mortgage. An equitable mortgage can be created by deed, or simply by depositing title deeds or other similar documents with the mortgagee. An equitable mortgage does not transfer legal title to the mortgagee and the mortgagee has no power to sell the land on the mortgagor's default. An equitable mortgage can arise if the parties intended to execute a legal mortgage but failed to comply with the requisite formalities.

- Fixed charge. A fixed charge does not affect ownership of the charged property, nor its possession. It simply provides the chargee with a right to proceeds of the asset on the charger's default.

Formalities. The following formalities apply:

- Deed. Legal charges over land and buildings must be created by deed and expressed to be a legal charge. In the absence of a valid deed, the charge or mortgage can still take effect as an equitable charge or mortgage.

- Land Registry. In order to protect priority, charges over land and buildings must be registered with the Land Registry. Priority will be given effect from the date of:
  - execution of the deed if registered within one month of the date of execution; or
  - registration of the deed if registered more than one month after the date of execution.

- If a charge is not registered, it will be ineffective against a bona fide purchaser or mortgagee for valuable consideration. Failure to register the charge will not affect the validity of the charge itself as between the parties to the security.

Movable property

Common forms of security. The most common forms of security are as follows:

- Mortgage. A legal or equitable mortgage can be created over movable property (see above).

- Fixed charge. A fixed charge provides the charge holder with a right to the proceeds of the asset on the charger's default. A fixed charge is created over a particular asset. Once a fixed charge is granted, the charger can only deal with and dispose of the asset with the chargee's consent. On the charger's default, the chargee has the right to apply to the court for an order to either sell the asset or to appoint a receiver to take possession of it. If the chargee allows the charger to deal with the asset in the ordinary course of business, the court can treat the charge as floating rather than fixed (see below).

- Floating charge. In contrast with a fixed charge, floating charges do not attach to one particular asset, but are created over a class of assets (present and future); the charger can continue to deal with the assets in the ordinary course of business until the charge crystallises and steps are taken to enforce it by the chargee. On crystallisation, a floating charge is converted into a fixed charge that attaches to the charged assets.

- Pledge. A pledge is a form of security whereby possession of the asset is transferred to the pledgee. The pledgee holds the asset until the debt is settled, although legal title will remain with the pledgor. On default by the pledgor, the pledgee can sell the pledged asset to recover the debt.

- Lien. A lien is a form of security whereby possession of the asset is transferred to a creditor by a debtor until the underlying obligations are discharged. By contrast with a pledge, a lien holder has no right to sell the relevant assets. A lien will terminate when the asset is returned to the legal title holder.
• Security over personal chattels. It is possible for a creditor to take a written mortgage over personal chattels to secure a loan (but this is uncommon). Where the instrument confers a right on the creditor to seize the chattel in the event of default, the mortgage can be considered a bill of sale. Subject to certain conditions and exceptions, such security is required to be in a particular statutory form and must be duly attested and registered with the Registrar of the High Court.

Formalities. The formalities required for movable property will depend on the form of security. Registration may be required. In general, charges must be registered with the Companies Registry within one month of creation if the charge is over the assets of a Hong Kong incorporated company or a company registered in Hong Kong as a non-Hong Kong company. Failure to register a charge will result in the charge being ineffective against the liquidator and any creditor of the company.

Certain classes of assets have separate registers and registration will be required at the relevant registry. For example, a mortgage over a Hong Kong registered ship must be in a prescribed form and registered with the Hong Kong Shipping Registry. Priority for ship mortgages is taken from the date of registration, and not the date of creation. Similarly, notice of mortgages or charges over aircraft is usually given to the Civil Aviation Department in Hong Kong, but this is not a formal requirement. If the chargee is a Hong Kong incorporated company or a company registered in Hong Kong as a non-Hong Kong company, the charge must be registered with the Companies Registry in the manner outlined above.

CREDITOR AND CONTRIBUTORY RANKING

2. Where do creditors and contributories rank on a debtor’s insolvency?

In Hong Kong, the most common procedure for a debtor’s insolvency is liquidation.

On a corporate liquidation, creditors and contributories usually rank as follows:

• Secured creditors. Secured creditors are generally entitled to pay themselves from their security. They are then entitled to claim as an unsecured creditor for any balance that remains unpaid after realisation of the security. The expenses of the receiver incurred in realising the secured assets can be deducted from the proceeds of the assets. Where there is more than one form of security over the same asset, a legal mortgage will rank ahead of an equitable mortgage, and fixed charges will rank in order of date of creation.

• Costs, charges and expenses properly incurred in the liquidation. The liquidators are entitled to their remuneration first, followed by the costs of the winding up. Where assets are disposed of in the liquidation, stamp duty can be chargeable and must be included as an expense properly incurred in the winding up.

• Preferential creditors. Employee claims rank first in this category, and can include claims for wages and salaries, severance payments, long service payments, amounts due in respect of employee compensation and claims for holiday pay. Government and statutory debts (such as rates and taxes) and where applicable, claims by bank deposit holders or claims under contracts of insurance can also be included as preferential creditors’ claims.

• Floating charge holders. Where a floating charge has crystallised or a receiver is appointed under the instrument by which the charge was created, floating charge holders can be paid from the proceeds of sale of the assets, but only if the claims of all preferential creditors have been satisfied. Charge holders will be entitled to claim as an unsecured creditor for any balance that remains unpaid after realisation of the security.

Any floating charges created over the same assets will rank in order of date of creation.

• Ordinary unsecured creditors. All remaining unsecured creditors will share the remaining surplus. If there are insufficient assets to meet the claims of ordinary unsecured creditors they will receive a share pro rata to their respective claims.

• Shareholders. Any remaining balance is distributed to shareholders. The articles of association of the company can specify an order of priority.

Separately, and depending on the circumstances of the case, it may be beneficial to creditors to recover their "own" assets in the debtor’s possession, for example if subject to valid retention of title clauses (see Question 3) or held on trust by the debtor.

UNPAID DEBTS AND RECOVERY

3. Can trade creditors use any mechanisms to secure unpaid debts? Are there any legal or practical limits on the operation of these mechanisms?

A reservation of title clause (Romanpa clause) is often included by trade creditors in their contracts. The clauses are designed to provide that goods supplied will not become the property of the debtor unless and until full payment has been made. Not all Romanpa clauses will be effective on insolvency; it will depend on the words used and the circumstances of the trade. Where drafted to secure the proceeds of sale of the goods, a Romanpa clause can create a floating charge which, unless registered, will be void on the buyer's insolvency. Romanpa clauses will also be ineffective against a bona fide third party purchaser of the goods for value and without notice of the clause.

In relation to the sale of goods, where property in goods has not yet passed to the buyer, an unpaid seller can have the following statutory rights in relation to the goods:

• Lien on the goods, or a right to retain them for the price (while in possession of them).

• Right to stop the goods in transit after parting with possession of them (on the insolvency of the buyer).

• Right of resale (in certain situations).

4. Can creditors invoke any procedures (other than the formal rescue or insolvency procedures described in Questions 6 and 7) to recover their debt? Is there a mandatory set-off of mutual debts on insolvency?

Debt recovery procedures

Creditors can commence legal proceedings to recover their debt as an alternative to insolvency procedures. Under certain circumstances, interim remedies can be obtained to preserve assets during the course of the legal proceedings. For example, the court can grant a Mareva injunction that operates to prevent a debtor from dealing with his assets pending resolution of the dispute. To obtain a Mareva injunction, a creditor must show that he has a good arguable case on a substantive claim and that there is a real risk of dissipation of assets, or removal of assets from Hong Kong that would render the judgment of no effect. It is not used often, but the court has a discretionary power to appoint a receiver in aid of a Mareva injunction.

The court also has the power to appoint a receiver in debt recovery actions. This will not be granted unless the court is convinced of its necessity. The powers of a court appointed receiver are defined by the terms of the order appointing them. Their duties are to collect the property over which they have been appointed and pay money received into court, or as the court directs. The receiver has a
fiduciary duty to protect, collect and realise assets and property and to pass the assets or property to beneficiaries or creditors.

In many cases the security documentation can provide for the appointment of a receiver to take possession of specific assets on a debtor's default. If the receiver is appointed under a floating charge, the appointment will usually take place on crystallisation of the charge. Court approval is not required to appoint a receiver in this manner, however such appointments can be open to challenge (usually by challenging validity of the underlying security). Where assets are realised under a floating charge, the receiver must account to any preferential creditors before paying the debt owed to the charge holder (see Question 2).

**Mandatory set-off of mutual debts in insolvency**

There must be mutuality for a set-off of debts to apply in insolvency:
- Mutual credit.
- Mutual debts.
- Other mutual dealings between mutual parties.

This does not mean that the debts must have arisen out of the same transaction or contract, but there must be mutual debts or other liabilities that ultimately allow for an account to be taken. If an individual has been acting in different capacities across transactions, there will be no mutuality and no set-off will apply. For example, there will be no mutuality and no set-off where debts are due from a trustee or partner, but other sums are owed to them in their individual personal capacities.

For a creditor to take advantage of any set-off, the mutual claims must have existed on the date the company is wound up, because this is the relevant date to conduct the mutual account. If there is a contingent claim, it can be set-off provided that the obligation existed at the commencement of the winding up.

The set-off provisions are mandatory with few exceptions. If a creditor has both ordinary and preferential claims, the set-off must be conducted proportionately with respect to each category of claim. Similarly, if a creditor has both secured and unsecured claims, the creditor must elect to either:
- Surrender his security and prove in the liquidation.
- Set-off only against the unsecured claims.

**STATE SUPPORT**

5. Is state support for distressed businesses available?

The Trade and Industry Department of the HKSAR Government provides support to small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Hong Kong. As of December 2015, there were approximately 320,000 SMEs in Hong Kong.

The Trade and Industry Department (through its Support and Consultation Centre for SMEs) provides free business information and advisory services, and administers SME funding schemes. The Department also operates an SME Loan Guarantee Scheme to assist SMEs to secure loans of up to 50% of the approved loan (up to a maximum of HK$6 million) to acquire business installations and equipment, or to meet working capital needs.

The Hong Kong Association of Banks and the Hong Kong Monetary Authority have issued formal but non-binding and non-statutory guidelines addressing how institutions should deal with corporate customers where the borrower is dealing with multiple banks. The guidelines emphasise that it is in the interests of all stakeholders that a business must survive if there is a reasonable possibility that it is viable. Under the guidelines, lenders are encouraged to opt first for a workout (see Question 8) and not to withdraw facilities or hastily put the borrower into receivership or issue legal proceedings demanding repayment.

**RESCUE AND INSOLVENCY PROCEDURES**

6. What are the main rescue/reorganisation procedures in your jurisdiction?

**Workout**

**Objective.** A workout is an agreement made outside of court between the company and its major creditors for the rescheduling of the company's debts. Insolvency is not required.

**Initiation.** A workout can be initiated at any time. A restructuring plan is usually recommended by a committee chaired by a lead creditor or bank. The court is not involved and the process is entirely voluntary.

**Substantive tests.** There are no relevant substantive tests.

**Consent and approvals.** A workout is negotiated between the company and its creditors.

**Supervision and control.** The company's management is not required to change during an informal workout. Once a workout is agreed, the company will operate under the terms of its arrangement. A committee of creditors can be formed to monitor implementation.

**Protection from creditors.** A workout provides no legal protection from creditors. There is no moratorium, and creditors can commence liquidation proceedings if they do not agree to the workout. However, in practice informal standstill agreements between creditors are often agreed.

**Length of procedure.** There is no required timeframe for a workout. The process can take months or years.

**Conclusion.** The company will continue to exist and be managed as normal, subject to the terms of the workout arrangement. There is no moratorium on debts, so it is possible for an unsatisfied creditor to commence insolvency proceedings at any time.

**Scheme of Arrangement**

**Objective.** A scheme of arrangement is a statutory, binding compromise reached between a company and its shareholders or creditors. Insolvency is not required, and a scheme of arrangement can be used in other scenarios, for example, for the reduction of share capital. A scheme of arrangement must be approved by the court.

**Initiation.** A scheme of arrangement can be initiated at any time. The procedure is voluntary. A scheme of arrangement can be made in relation to a Hong Kong incorporated company or, in certain circumstances, a foreign company.

**Substantive tests.** There are no relevant substantive tests. Insolvency is not required. Schemes of arrangement are often used in reorganisations and mergers.

**Consent and approvals.** In general, a scheme of arrangement must be accepted by all classes of creditors or shareholders (as applicable). The court will review the plan before sanctioning the convening of the separate meetings of the creditors or shareholders (as applicable). The scheme must be approved by at least 75% in value and more than 50% in number of the classes of creditors or shareholders voting. The scheme must also be approved by the court.

**Supervision and control.** Once a scheme of arrangement is sanctioned by the court, the company's management can remain in place. An administrator may be appointed to implement the scheme of arrangement.
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Protection from creditors. Initiation of a scheme of arrangement does not trigger a moratorium on creditor actions. However, a successful application to court for the sanction of a scheme of arrangement will lead to a moratorium on creditor actions against the company if the terms of the scheme provide for such a moratorium.

Length of procedure. There is no prescribed timeframe for a scheme of arrangement, but the process generally takes three to six months.

Conclusion. Hong Kong does not currently have any formal corporate rescue procedures. A scheme of arrangement will conclude when its terms have been implemented. A court-sanctioned scheme of arrangement is the only procedure that can protect a company if the management want to preserve the company as a going concern.

Members' voluntary liquidation

Objective. The purpose of a members' voluntary liquidation is to wind up a company in situations where the company is solvent but the shareholders no longer wish it to continue trading. The company's creditors will be paid in full on winding up, and any remaining surplus funds will be distributed to the shareholders.

Initiation. A members' voluntary liquidation is only possible where the directors sign a certificate of solvency to the effect that the company will be able to pay its debts in full within a specified period not exceeding 12 months.

Substantive tests. The company must be able to pay in full all creditors within 12 months from the commencement of the liquidation (even if the liquidation process takes longer than 12 months). If the liquidator believes that the company will not be able to pay its debts in full during the relevant period, the liquidator will convene a meeting of creditors and present a statement of the assets and liabilities of the company.

Consent and approvals. A declaration of solvency must be made by the directors to the effect that they have made a full inquiry into the affairs of the company, and they have formed the opinion that the company will be able to pay its debts in full within a specified period, not exceeding 12 months. The shareholders will appoint the liquidator and fix the liquidator's remuneration. The appointment is formalised by the members passing a resolution at a general meeting (during which the resolution to wind the company up is also passed).

Supervision and control. The directors' powers in relation to the company cease on the appointment of the liquidator, except where the liquidator agrees that they can continue.

Protection from creditors. There is no moratorium on debts in a voluntary winding up.

Length of procedure. Creditors must be paid in full within 12 months. The winding up itself can take longer.

Conclusion. Once all creditors are provided for or paid in full, the liquidator will wind up the company. Dissolution brings the company to an end.

Substantive tests. See above.

Consent and approvals. The procedural requirements of conducting a creditors' voluntary liquidation are generally the same as for a members' voluntary liquidation (see Question 8). However, a key difference is that creditors can appoint a committee of inspection whose agreement is needed before the liquidator can take certain actions.

Supervision and control. The directors' powers in relation to the company cease on the appointment of the liquidator, except where the committee of inspection agrees, if there is one, or otherwise the creditors agree that they can continue.

Protection from creditors. There is no moratorium on proceedings against the company. However, the court has discretion to stay legal proceedings on the application of a creditor, contributory or the liquidator.

Length of procedure. A liquidator can be appointed within one month under normal circumstances. If the alternative procedure is used (see above) the appointment will be much faster.

Conclusion. The liquidator must realise as many of the company's assets as possible for distribution to creditors. The liquidator will then wind up the company, and dissolution brings the company to an end.

Compulsory liquidation

Objective. The purpose of a compulsory liquidation is to liquidate a company's assets, consider claims and distribute the proceeds of the liquidation to creditors in order of priority.

Initiation. A compulsory liquidation is commenced by presentation of a winding up petition to the court. The petition can be presented by various parties (including creditors and the company itself). In practice, most compulsory liquidation petitions are presented by unpaid creditors. The party presenting the petition must satisfy certain notice, filing and advertising requirements. In the case of a petition presented by a creditor, a statutory demand is usually served first providing a 21-day window for the debtor to pay the debt.

Substantive tests. There are a number of grounds upon which the court can wind up a company. The most common grounds are that the:

- Company has by special resolution (that requires a majority of 75%) resolved that it must be wound up.
- Company is unable to pay its debts. Failure to pay a statutory demand within 21 days is an act of presumed insolvency, as is failure to satisfy a judgment debt in whole or in part.
- Court considers that it is just and equitable that the company be wound up.

The court will not make a winding up order if the company establishes that there is a genuine dispute on substantial grounds regarding the debt that is the subject of the petition.
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Consent and approvals. Following the presentation of the petition, the court can appoint a provisional liquidator to safeguard the assets of the company. A liquidator will be subsequently appointed (often at the first creditors’ meeting).

After a winding up order has been made, the following steps are taken:

- A statement of affairs of the company is delivered.
- A meeting of creditors and contributories is called.
- A committee of inspection can be appointed.
- Creditors file proofs of debt to claim in the liquidation that the liquidator will consider on their merits. Creditors can appeal against the liquidator’s rejection of their claim.
- The liquidator presents a report on the reasons why the company failed and the affairs of the company to the court.
- Investigations are taken into any potential wrongful actions taken by the directors of the company and any pre-liquidation transactions that could potentially be set aside (see Question 10).

Supervision and control. The provisional liquidator and the liquidator control the liquidation under the supervision of the court. A creditors’ committee (the committee of inspection) is appointed to supervise the liquidator in relation to certain matters. In particular, the court or the committee of inspection must approve compromises with creditors and the commencement of litigation.

There is an alternative summary winding up procedure that can be used if the assets of the company are unlikely to exceed HK$200,000.

Protection from creditors. When a winding up order is made, there is an automatic stay on all actions and proceedings against the company. In certain circumstances, proceedings can continue with the leave of the court.

Length of procedure. It usually takes several months to obtain a winding up order. Depending on the scale of the liquidation, the liquidation process itself can take several years to conclude.

Conclusion. The liquidator will apply to the court for release when the liquidation is complete and the assets have been realised and distributed. Dissolution then brings the company to an end.

STAKEHOLDERS’ ROLES

8. Which stakeholders have the most significant role in the outcome of a restructuring or insolvency procedure? Can stakeholders or commercial/policy issues influence the outcome of the procedure?

Creditors have significant influence in insolvency procedures in Hong Kong. This is because most insolvency procedures in Hong Kong do not result in a moratorium of debts, meaning that there is an ongoing risk of legal action from creditors. A dissenting creditor can sue the company, seize the company’s assets or present a winding up petition at any time. In addition, the consent of creditors is required unanimously in a workout, and by special majority in the case of a scheme of arrangement (see Question 18).

In a compulsory liquidation, one particular stakeholder (usually a creditor) can exercise significant informal influence by being responsible for presenting the winding up petition to the court. This allows the stakeholder to control the procedural timetable and often have some practical influence on the appointment of the liquidator.

LIABILITY

9. Can a director, partner, parent entity (domestic or foreign) or other party be held liable for an insolvent debtor’s debts?

If during the course of the winding up it becomes apparent to the court that any business has been carried out with fraudulent intent, the court can hold any person who was knowingly a party to the fraudulent conduct personally liable, without any limitation of liability. In addition, fraudulent trading is a criminal offence, with a penalty of a fine and imprisonment. A director can also be disqualified on grounds of unfitness, irrespective of any subsequent criminal proceedings. Actions for fraudulent trading in Hong Kong are rare, due to the difficulty in establishing an intention to defraud.

A director or partner will be personally liable for the debts of an insolvent company if they have provided a personal guarantee. A director or shadow director can also be held liable for a breach of fiduciary duty and can be ordered to repay the company amounts wrongfully transferred, or to compensate the company for its losses by way of damages or equitable compensation.

A parent company (domestic or foreign) is a separate legal entity and, unless it has provided a guarantee or has been involved in fraudulent conduct, will not be liable for the debts of an insolvent subsidiary.

SETTING ASIDE TRANSACTIONS

10. Can an insolvent debtor’s pre-insolvency transactions be set aside? If so, who can challenge these transactions, when and in what circumstances? Are third parties’ rights affected?

In relation to a compulsory liquidation, any dispossession of property or transfers of shares made after the commencement of the liquidation will be void. There are also a number of pre-insolvency transactions that can be challenged or set aside by the liquidator, including:

- Unfair preferences. An unfair preference is any action taken by the company, influenced by a desire to prefer, and that puts one creditor in a better position in the event of insolvency than it would otherwise have been. For the transaction to be set aside, it must have been entered into within a specified time period prior to the commencement of the liquidation. The transactions caught are usually those that take place during the six month period prior to the company winding up, but this can be extended to a two year period if the recipient is an associate. The concept of an associate is defined broadly, but does not include a parent company. Transactions involving associates are presumed to be an unfair preference unless proven otherwise.
- Exertion of extensions of credit to the company.
- Floating charges granted by the company within 12 months prior to the commencement of an insolvent company’s liquidation.
- Transactions made with the intention to defraud creditors or for a fraudulent purpose. For example, a transaction can be set aside if the liquidator establishes that it took place with the aim of placing assets beyond the reach of creditors. If the property disposed of is held by a bona fide third party purchaser for value and without notice of the fraud, then the transaction will not be set aside.
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With the approval of the court and within 12 months of the commencement of the liquidation, the liquidator can also disclaim onerous property of the company, including:

- Unprofitable contracts.
- Land burdened with onerous contracts.
- Property that is not ready to be sold because it binds the possessor to the performance of any onerous act, or to the payment of money.

The court will try to minimise the effect of the disclaimer on third parties, and persons who suffer loss as a result of the disclaimer will become creditors of the company.

**CARRYING ON BUSINESS DURING INSOLVENCY**

**11. In what circumstances can a debtor continue to carry on business during rescue or insolvency proceedings? In particular, who has the authority to supervise or carry on the debtor’s business during the process and what restrictions apply?**

**Workout**

The company can continue to trade, subject to the terms of the workout arrangements. The company's management does not change during an informal workout. Once a workout is agreed, the company will operate under the terms of its arrangement. A committee of creditors can be formed to monitor implementation.

**Scheme of arrangement**

The company can continue to trade, and the company's management will remain in place. An administrator may be appointed to implement the scheme of arrangement.

**Members' voluntary liquidation**

The company must cease business operations once a members' voluntary liquidation is underway. The directors’ powers will cease, with the exception of powers relating to the company general meeting, or with the agreement of the liquidator. The company can continue business operations only when it is necessary to benefit the liquidation.

**Creditors' voluntary liquidation**

The company must cease business operations once a creditors' voluntary liquidation is underway. The directors’ powers will cease, with the exception of powers relating to the company general meeting, or with the agreement of the committee of inspection, if there is one, or otherwise the creditors. The company can continue business operations only when it is necessary to benefit the liquidation.

**Compulsory liquidation**

The powers of the directors cease when the winding-up order is made. The liquidator then takes control of the company's property. In order for the liquidator to continue business operations, the approval of the court or the committee of inspection is required. The company can continue business operations only when it is necessary to benefit the liquidation.

**ADDITIONAL FINANCE**

**12. Can a debtor that is subject to insolvency proceedings obtain additional finance both as a legal and as a practical matter (for example, debtor-in-possession financing or equivalent)? Is special priority given to the repayment of this finance?**

There is no prohibition for a company in liquidation obtaining additional finance. A liquidator can raise funds on the security of the unsecured assets of the company. The security will then take priority in accordance with the general rules on creditors’ claims.

**MULTINATIONAL CASES**

**13. What are the rules that govern a local court’s recognition of concurrent foreign restructuring or insolvency procedures for a local debtor? Are there any international treaties or EU legislation governing this situation? What are the procedures for foreign creditors to submit claims in a local restructuring or insolvency process?**

**Recognition**

There are no statutory provisions dealing with the recognition of foreign insolvency and rescue procedures in Hong Kong. However, where a foreign company is in liquidation in its country of incorporation, it may be possible to obtain a winding up order in Hong Kong ancillary to that being conducted abroad. The Hong Kong liquidator will generally be responsible for realising the Hong Kong assets and paying over those assets to the principal liquidators abroad. Similarly, and under principles of international comity, the Hong Kong courts will, in certain circumstances, recognise insolvency procedures and orders made by foreign courts against a local debtor. Problems often arise in Hong Kong in relation to the insolvent and restructuring of mainland Chinese companies, as there is no formal arrangement for recognition of insolvency procedures between Hong Kong and China.

**Concurrent proceedings**

In appropriate cases and on appropriate terms the Hong Kong court will co-operate with a foreign court if there is concurrent rescue or insolvency proceedings in another jurisdiction.

**International treaties**

The UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency 1997 has not yet been implemented in Hong Kong and the adoption of such law is not contemplated under current proposals for legislative reform in Hong Kong. Hong Kong is not a party to any other relevant international treaties on the subject of insolvency.

**Procedures for foreign creditors**

Claims procedures in local insolvency proceedings are the same for both domestic and foreign creditors.

**REFORM**

**14. Are there any proposals for reform?**

**Corporate rescue**

There are currently no corporate rescue procedures in Hong Kong. In the absence of a voluntary agreement between the company and all of its creditors, a company in Hong Kong only has recourse to a
scheme of arrangement or a provisional liquidation. The Law Reform Commission of Hong Kong issued a report in 1996 that made detailed proposals to implement a form of provisional supervision. Supervision was intended to be through an independent third party, who would take control of the company and work towards a voluntary proposal for creditors with minimal supervision from the court. There would also be a moratorium on creditors’ claims during the provisional supervision.

In 2001, the Companies (Corporate Rescue) Bill was introduced into the Legislative Council. The proposals did not proceed, mainly because of the concerns of various stakeholders relating to employment law. In 2009, the Financial Services and Treasury Bureau (FSTB) conducted a public consultation in a further attempt to launch the legislation. Another consultation which considered the introduction of corporate rescue procedures was conducted in 2013, however, to date, no related legislation has been implemented.

**Insolvent trading**

Detailed proposals for the introduction of insolvent trading provisions were incorporated into the Companies (Corporate Rescue) Bill (introduced into the Legislative Council (LegCo) in 2001). Under the proposed provisions, directors and shadow directors will be personally liable if they allow the company to continue to trade and incur debts when they knew, or ought to have known that it was insolvent, or that there was no reasonable prospect of avoiding insolvency. This is a lower standard than the standard required to prove fraudulent trading, which is a notoriously difficult standard to meet. In 2009, the FSTB conducted a public consultation in a further attempt to launch the legislation without success.

**Other reforms**

In 2013, the FSTB conducted a public consultation in respect of proposed amendments to the corporate insolvency and winding-up provisions in the Companies (Winding Up and Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Cap. 32) to facilitate more efficient administration of the winding-up process and to increase protection for creditors. The legislation resulting from the consultation, the Companies (Winding Up and Miscellaneous Provisions) (Amendment) Ordinance 2016 (Amendment Ordinance), was enacted by LegCo on 27 May 2016 and will come into operation on a day to be appointed by the Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury by notice published in the Gazette. Key reforms include giving the court the power to set aside transactions entered into by a company at an undervalue within five years before the commencement of its winding up and enhancing the unfair preference regime.

The Amendment Ordinance does not include provisions relating to either corporate rescue or insolvent trading. The FTSB is preparing drafting instructions for a separate amendment bill to introduce a new statutory corporate rescue procedure and insolvent trading provisions for Hong Kong and expects to introduce such amendment bill into LegCo in 2017 or 2018.

Following the financial crisis of 2008 and the subsequent movement by certain governments around the world to establish resolution regimes for large financial institutions considered “too big to fail”, LegCo passed the Financial Institutions (Resolution) Ordinance (Resolution Ordinance) on 22 June 2016. The Resolution Ordinance will introduce into Hong Kong law a regime for the resolution of distressed financial institutions operating in Hong Kong which have the status of “globally systemically important” and will come into operation on a day to be appointed by the Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury by notice published in the Gazette.
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**ONLINE RESOURCES**

**Department of Justice Bilingual Laws Information System (BLIS)**


Description. BLIS is an electronic database of the legislation of Hong Kong. It is established and updated by the Department of Justice.
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**Professional qualifications.** Qualified in Hong Kong, England and Wales and Australia (New South Wales)

**Areas of practice.** Insolvency and restructuring; finance; insurance and reinsurance.

**Professional qualifications.** Qualified in the US (Connecticut and New York)

**Areas of practice.** Insolvency and restructuring; global financial services regulation.